

engaged with two sets of pin holes 12a, 22a, 12b, and 22b provided in the first housing 1 and the second housing 2, respectively, whereby the mounting position of the second housing 2 with respect to the first housing 1 is aligned at the predetermined position (See FIG. 2).” *See* page 14, line 15-20 (emphasis added). The specification further states that “the positioning of the mounting position of the second housing 2 with respect to the first housing 1 is carried out using the two pins 5a and 5b (positioning means) and the two sets of pin holes 12a, 22a, 12b and 22b (positioning means).” *See* page 16, lines 6-9 (emphasis added).

Therefore, FIGS. 2, 3(b), 4(b), 5(b) illustrate two pins 5a and 5b, and two sets of the pin holes 12a, 22a, 12b and 22b. In the present application, the “positioning unit” is configured by the two pins 5a, 5b, and two sets of pin holes 12a, 22a, 12b, and 22b, particularly as further defined by dependent claim 6. As these components are shown in the drawings, the claimed “positioning unit” is shown in the drawings. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection are respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner states that claims 9-12 are allowed, but is silent as to whether claims 5-8 would be allowable if the objections to the drawing were overcome. Because claims 5-8 have not been rejected over prior art, and the Examiner has closed prosecution as to the merits, Applicants believe that claims 5-8 are also allowable. Allowance of claims 5-8 is respectfully requested.

Additional Cited References

Since the remaining references cited by the Examiner have not been utilized to reject the claims, but have merely been cited to show the state of the art, no comment need be made with respect thereto.

CONCLUSION

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response

has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone Chad D. Wells, Registration No. 50,875, at (703) 205-8000, in the Washington, D.C. area.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: September 25, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Paul C. Lewis

Registration No.: 43,368

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicants

CAW